

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,213	07/24/2003	Emilio Barbera-Guillem	26983-133	9675
21130 7	7590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
2300 BP TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200 PUBLIC SQUARE CLEVELAND, OH 44114			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		10/626,213	BARBERA-GUILL	BARBERA-GUILLEM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS LOI  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror  - If NO period for reply is sp.  - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the (	NGER, FROM THE MAILING available under the provisions of 37 CFR in the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by state	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONT DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS of the, cause the application to become ABANDO ling date of this communication, even if timely	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this c  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status		-					
1)☐ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>F</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			, 100 0.0. 210.				
· _	140 40 in/one manding in the co		•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1 and 18-48 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,18-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,18-</u>	48 are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
		Examiner. Note the attached Off					
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
		ority documents have been rece	-	Stage			
	on from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		-					
Attachment(s)							
1) D Notice of References Cit		4) Interview Summ					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	6) Other:	ai materit Application (PTC	J-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,213

Art Unit: 1644

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

a)The claimed method that uses an affinity ligand which binds one of the B cell determinants recited in the claims (CD20, etc).

The species are independent or distinct because they bind different antigens which are chemically and functionally distinct.

b)The claimed method that uses parental or site directed method of administration. The aforementioned methods use different modes of administration that are functionally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Art Unit: 1644

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644

RONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1880 ( 6 0000)